1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF OREGON
3	THE HON. ANN AIKEN, JUDGE PRESIDING
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
7	Government,)
8	v.) No. 6:11-cr-60097-AA-1
9	CODY SETH CRAWFORD,
10	Defendant.)
11)
12	
13	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	EUGENE, OREGON
15	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 11, 2018
16	PAGES 1 - 34
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Kristi L. Anderson
22	Official Federal Reporter United States Courthouse
23	405 East Eighth Avenue Eugene, Oregon 97401
24	(541) 431-4112 Kristi_Anderson@ord.uscourts.gov
25	

```
1
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
 2
 3
     FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
 4
     Gavin W. Bruce
     U.S. Attorney's Office
 5
     405 E. 8th Avenue
     #2400
 6
     Eugene, OR 97401
     541-465-6771
 7
     Fax: 541-465-6917
     Email: Gavin.Bruce@usdoj.gov
 8
 9
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
10
     Bryan E. Lessley
     Office of the Federal Public Defender
     859 Willamette Street
11
     Suite 200
12
     Eugene, OR 97401
     541-465-6937
13
     Fax: 541-465-6975
     Email: bryan_lessley@fd.org
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

2.2

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 11, 2018

THE CLERK: Now is the time set for Criminal Case No. 11-60097, the United States of America versus Cody Seth Crawford for revocation hearing.

THE COURT: Mr. Lessley.

MR. LESSLEY: Good morning, Your Honor.

There are two petitions, one of them dated

September the 26th of 2017, alleging that Mr. Crawford

failed to complete and participate in the program of an RRC

and then the second petition dated October the 10th of 2017,

alleging a new law violation, essentially spitting at a

member of the sheriff's office at the jail.

Mr. Crawford is prepared to admit both violations.

With respect to the first violation; that is, the termination from the RRC, there's a lot in the narrative that he either doesn't remember or just doesn't agree with, and so I don't want to be in a position of nitpicking the narrative.

He does admit that he, through his own conduct, caused himself to be discharged from the RRC.

THE COURT: Mr. Crawford, you heard what

Mr. Lessley has told me about your desire to go forward

today and admit the allegations in both petitions, correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

09:18:23 THE COURT: And he has been your lawyer for some time? 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And you understand you have a right to 5 challenge these allegations, put on witnesses, testify, and 6 require the government to prove these are true? 7 Yes, I do. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: And you wish to waive those rights and 8 9 simply admit that these are in fact true? Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE DEFENDANT: 11 THE COURT: And you understand you could be 12 resentenced up to -- between three to nine months but 13 potentially up to two years? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: So you wish to waive your rights and 16 simply tell me that these are true, correct? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: So in the October 10th, 2017, petition 18 19 for the show cause order, is it true that you violated 20 Standard Condition No. 2, you shall not commit another 21 federal, state, or local crime and shall not illegally 22 possess a controlled substance and that you were arrested 23 for two counts of aggravated harassment while in the Lane 24 County Jail, you were arraigned on aggravated harassment,

and the court trial date was set November 8th, 2017, in the

25

```
09:19:32
              Lane County Circuit Court case. That was the case, and I
          1
          2
              presume that's been resolved.
          3
                        MR. LESSLEY: Your Honor, it's actually scheduled
          4
              to be resolved tomorrow.
          5
                        THE COURT: All right.
          6
                        MR. LESSLEY: Although there's an issue that Lane
          7
              County hasn't writed him yet. But he has a currently
          8
              scheduled court date for tomorrow. This is a joint
          9
              resolution. The state knows --
         10
                        THE COURT: All right. Excellent. So that's part
         11
              of this -- so you acknowledge that -- tomorrow you are going
         12
              to apparently acknowledge that that did in fact happen?
         13
                        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
                        THE COURT: With regard to the earlier allegation,
         14
         15
              violation of Special Condition No. 3, you must reside and
         16
              participate in the program at the residential reentry center
         17
              for not more than 180 days and follow all the rules and
              regulations, a number of your acts and behaviors caused you
         18
         19
              to be kicked out of the RRC and a verbal warrant issued by
         20
              the court on September 25th, 2017; is that right?
         21
                        THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
         2.2
                        THE COURT: Are you satisfied, counsel?
         23
                        MR. BRUCE: Yes, Your Honor.
                        THE COURT: So you wish to admit both allegations,
         24
         25
              correct?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Admissions are noted.

Disposition.

MR. LESSLEY: Your Honor, if I may --

Go ahead and sit down.

I know Mr. Crawford as well as I have ever known a client, and Your Honor knows him quite well too. And it's an unfortunate part of his psychology that every few years with a lot of irregularity he goes into these manic states. He did so during -- a couple of times during the time that his original case was pending. It's also part of his history.

There's very little doubt in my mind that he was in one of those states last September. The descriptions of his behavior are very similar to the descriptions of his past behavior. He's had multiple hospitalizations in the Oregon State Hospital.

When he was charged with the spitting incident in Lane County and acquired a lawyer, I communicated with that lawyer. Her name is Allison Knight. And I provided to Ms. Knight the previous psychological diagnoses that had been prepared during Mr. Crawford's original case, both done by our side and also done by the Oregon State Hospital.

Ms. Knight has also had him undergo a psychological evaluation in the last few months. She has

09:21:58

not shared the results of that with me. She hasn't shared them with Mr. Crawford. But my understanding is that the results of that examination have led the district attorney's office to agree to the disposition that's suggested here in the probation office's recommendation.

The anticipation is that he will get a reimposed term of federal supervision; that he will get an RRC placement. The recommendation is up to 120 days.

Our request and a very strong request is that that be at the Northwest Regional Reentry Center. I will talk about that in a minute.

He also is going to undergo mental health treatment. Our request is that be at the Dual Diagnosis Program at LifeWorks.

And I have shared those recommendations with the Lane County attorney, and I understand they will be incorporated into the terms of the Circuit Court judgment, hopefully tomorrow, and so that he will plead guilty in Circuit Court, he will receive this disposition, and will be on probation in both courts.

The reason we want Portland is partly because of the resource of LifeWorks; partly also because his mother is still in a very bad state struggling with cancer. She is at OHSU. She has been struggling with it for a long time, and it seems like it's late stage at this point. So we'd like

09:23:27

to be able to work through the reentry center there to be able to have him visit.

Also, it's just closer to his home. He grew up in McMinnville, and he's just closer to that area.

So our request is that the court follow the recommendations in the probation recommendation. That's just the background.

But there's very little doubt in my mind he was going through yet another manic phase. Sometimes they last a long time; sometimes they don't. He's not in it now, but it's just an unfortunate part of his life.

MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Unlike Mr. Lessley, I am newer to this case. Bud Fitzgerald of our office prosecuted this case initially as well as the supervised released issue up until his retirement at the end of last year.

So I took it upon myself to kind of get an idea of where we are at this point. And these are some of the things that I see, which is that he was -- Mr. Crawford was released from the Oregon -- from OHP in October of 2016 and one month later was arrested on a felony elude and a DUI. He received a time served sentence for that in February of 2017 but then failed to attend drug and alcohol -- a drug and alcohol assessment following that conviction.

In July of 2017, he was arrested on a probation

09:25:08

violation after testing positive for methamphetamine and marijuana and spent -- and was given a 60-day sentence.

And then as -- in concert with the federal probation office and the state, he was then released to the RRC in September of 2017. Not more than two weeks after arriving at the RRC, he started creating disturbances at the RRC. Would fail to -- or refused to sign a mental health assessment and, as alleged and admitted, was unwilling to abide by the rules and regulations of the RRC as well as the mental health treatment aspect of the center.

Looking at this pattern and the pattern of Mr. Crawford's history, needless to say, the United States is very concerned that when Mr. Crawford -- that Mr. Crawford will remain a danger to society and to himself if he does not follow through with appropriate mental health treatment.

And the history of violence and dangerous instability is evident in this record. It's not only in the count of conviction here, it's a hate crime against the Islamic Center in Corvallis, but as well as the conviction that led him to the Oregon -- OHP in 2013. It's -- simply put, when Mr. Crawford is unstable, the public is at risk.

So the original recommendation of probation, which was a six-month sentence, it's clear that he's already spent about that amount of time prior to this hearing as well as

09:27:10

on the state -- state crime issue.

And so therefore, we do -- we do agree with the recommendation of probation for time served and a reimposition of supervised release for the 36 months with the mandatory mental health treatment.

I usually wouldn't speak at greater length when I am simply agreeing with a recommendation, but I do think it's -- it is worth noting what our concern is is that when that treatment is not present in his life that it's dangerous to society and it's dangerous to himself.

And so we would hope that whatever the resolution here and whatever options he has available to him in Portland, whether it's here or in Portland, that -- that we are able to have a close eye on him because I am concerned and the United States is concerned.

THE COURT: I am appreciative of the United

States' concern, but the huge, glaring gap in what you just
said is this:

This is an article that came out June 14th, 2017.

Oregon ranks worst, W-O-R-S-T, in the United States for mental health. 51st. A new facility opened in Portland to help people in crisis.

Oregon has the worst mental health rate in the country, according to a recent study. It's called the Unity Center 24/7 mental health facility for

09:28:46

those in need of immediate help. It's a collaboration by OHSU, Legacy, Adventist and Kaiser near the Moda Center in Northeast Portland.

Directors of the Unity Center say they have been about a 95 -- it's been 95 percent full since they opened in January. It has inpatient rooms where people can stay for several weeks, join therapy groups, and receive medication. They say the problem is so bad, about 40 percent of the people who arrive have to be committed to long-term, involuntary facilities such as the State Hospital in Salem.

The nonprofit Mental Health American -Mental Health America recently ranked Oregon the
worst in the country for mental health rates and
little success to help for it. The study says
that we have some of the worst rates for
homelessness, high school graduations, and child
abuse. It all ranks and is all contributed to
mental health.

I think it's an epidemic as a nation, but I don't think we do well taking care of people with mental health illnesses, said a Portland psychiatrist Mary Theodore. She says part of the problem is Oregon has so few psychiatrists,

09:29:48

partially because of low salaries, and, of the psychiatrists who are in the state, most of them are full and don't take new patients.

There's a certain percentage of people with psychotic disorders who are paranoid and are not being treated with medication and, in their paranoid state, have a lot of suspicion about going to see a doctor.

She believes Oregon also needs more master's level social workers in our hospitals to spot mental illness and to get people the kind help they need, more day programs to get people therapy so they don't reach the crisis point that would admit them to facilities like Unity Center.

National statistics show about one in four people has a mental health issue. Dr. Theodore believes it's even higher. 35 to 40 percent of the people will see some kind of mental health show up in their lifetime.

It may sound expensive, but in the end it's a lot less expensive than having people in a revolving door going in and out of emergency rooms and using police officers to pick them up in the streets. I would also say parenthetically correctional facilities.

09:30:46

The Unity Center's vice president,

Mr. Ferentinos, says that they are seeing up to
eight ambulances every day delivering people in an

immediate mental health crisis.

They are in high distress. They are depressed, suicidal. We see individuals who are psychotic. They are out of control, presenting with paranoia or high on a drug like meth.

It's changing how police respond to calls for troubled persons in the street. Instead of dropping them off at a hospital where they would be admitted for one night, given a pill, and then sent back on the streets the next day, the Unity Center is helping to fight the root cause.

Instead of taking this person to jail because they are mentally in distress, they are actually bringing them here, which is a good thing.

Ferentinos says 77 percent of patients can be stabilized in the first 24 hours with medication and therapy and will be discharged with a plan and follow-up contacts. But she says homelessness and mental illness are intertwined.

I think individuals who are homeless and have mental illness most of time are having difficulty staying in stable housing because of their

09:31:46

Э

behavior, and sometimes they are just a little different or out of control. The stress and instability we are living in in Oregon and around the country, it has definitely had a contribution to what we are seeing in the mental health system.

Years ago there was a national movement to close institutionalized mental health hospitals because of harsh treatment and poor funding.

Hospitals in Portland and Eastern Oregon closed down. That left many of the patients homeless because of inadequate follow-up care and housing.

An outpatient center to coordinate with Unity is opening later this month by Adventist in Northeast Portland. Experts say we need even more.

I say that because you didn't even mention mental health evaluations.

This gentleman is one of the cases that requires the system to have, frankly, better mental health services because he is going to have episodic difficulties. That's just the nature of his disease.

Just like if you read the paper today, Mariah Carey, your well known singer with a lot of money, she has been able to hire and get the help she needs when she goes into her episodic, classic crash and burns.

09:32:51

This gentleman goes out into the community, but we have inadequate services. I am concerned, and I am going to put him up in the Northwest Regional Reentry Center, and I am going to ask that Matt Preuitt take this case over.

But Matt Preuitt can't take every single mental health case in this state. And he's the probation officer that has the best relationships with all the bare minimum of services that are offered in Portland.

So the government can be upset and want to do something, but I am going to tell you, Patty Perlow, the DA over there, and I talk about this mental health crisis every single time we are together. This community doesn't have remotely what it needs to help the mental health community and the individuals like this, and they are going to fail and they are going to commit crimes.

There by the grace of God could any member in your family end up in this situation, and we need to do better.

So I call on the United States government to actually recognize that we don't have the services, we don't have the resources, we don't have the housing, we don't have the institutional places to deal with the way in which we need to humanely take care of people who have mental health issues.

Now, Mr. Crawford has -- when he is medicated, not in one of his cycles, he does pretty darn well. And then he

09:34:09

2.2

gets -- either because the brain chemistry is so fragile it tips or his medications aren't right or lots of times people go into that manic phase and they think they are capable of all sorts of things, and they go off their medications.

That's -- that's a systems issue we have to deal with, and one at a time my goal is to get people to start recognizing that so many people that we are sentencing have those incredible, very complex, difficult bases of their criminal justice activity and that we don't do anybody any good just moving him in and out of a bed for a short period of time without something with more stability.

I know the -- I know in -- I have every bit of confidence that though they haven't shared, which I also find amusing that we don't share the reports about the same people we serve in the state and federal system, that they are going to, over at the circuit court, they are going to be pretty darn happy that we'll take responsibility, which I have told Ms. Perlow we have more resources. They are not adequate at all, and they are not tempered with enough mental health stuff, but they are better than what the state has at the moment.

So I am going to follow the recommendation, but it has everything to do with trying to get him in a place to get stability and get him what he needs to find a long-term plan.

09:35:33

1 2

3

5

4

6 7

8

9 10

11

13

12

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

district and particularly in this Eugene area where we don't have -- we barely have what's adequate, and Willamette Family has now revamped their entire nonprofit to deal with dual diagnosis clients down here, which they are being overrun with.

in the -- talk about the bigger mental health issue in this

But I would appreciate that maybe somebody would

And then, of course, we don't have anything, really, down in Southern Oregon.

So this is a systems issue.

And Mr. Crawford, you are going to be in a different spot up in Portland and a different situation. And it's going to matter to you that you find one or more resources up there, including Mr. Preuitt, who you can communicate with to move you through your supervision period successfully because you will end up in prison if you don't.

I say all this because I -- people come in here and just neglect talking about the mental health side of stuff.

And thank you, Mr. Lessley, for those. been with this case from the very beginning. And, you know, some cases are not just a quick fix. So he's not a quick fix.

And, Your Honor, neither Mr. Morales MR. LESSLEY: nor Mr. Bruce was with the case at the time, so I want to --

09:36:49

__

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. LESSLEY: I know Your Honor knows what I am about to say, but I would like to provide this context too, and it echoes what Your Honor read.

The original disposition of this case involved a -- he had already been committed to the jurisdiction of Oregon Health Authority through a Yamhill County case. He had about four years left -- it was a five-year commitment. He had about four years -- Polk County. I am sorry. He had about four years left of that commitment at the time Your Honor sentenced him. And the understanding at the time -- he was actually at the Oregon State Hospital at the time.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LESSLEY: The understanding at the time was that OHA, Oregon Health Authority jurisdiction, would, if it were determined that he had -- was ready to leave the Oregon State Hospital, that they would maintain jurisdiction, provide housing, provide mental health treatment, and if it was sensed that he was going manic again, they would have the authority to adjust his placement.

Instead of that, seven months later, in October of 2014, they kicked him out of the Oregon Health Authority entirely, and now he ended up on our lap without the services that it was originally intended that they would provide.

09:38:04 And that plan that we presented to Your Honor and 1 2 that Your Honor adopted was actually approved not just by 3 Your Honor, but there was an assistant attorney general helping to prosecute the case, and that plan was approved at 4 5 some high level of the attorney general's office in 6 Washington. 7 And so we did really get kind of, and I use the --THE COURT: Drop-kicked. 8 9 Drop-kicked by the Oregon Health MR. LESSLEY: Authority that dropped what it said it would do, and now 10 11 this is landing in our lap because he should actually still be under Oregon Health Authority jurisdiction through at 12 13 least some part of 2020, and he's not. So that's just background for people who weren't 14 15 around at the time. 16 THE COURT: And this is a complicated case. But 17 there are no quick fixes on cases like this. And, you know, until I leave the bench, 18 19 Mr. Crawford, and until you are off supervision, you are stuck with me. 2.0 Not so stuck with Mr. Lessley. 21 2.2 THE DEFENDANT: I know. He's retiring. 23 THE COURT: Yeah, I know. There's a very strong grapevine, even on walking paths. 24

So I will tell you that you are stuck with me,

25

09:39:12

and, as you can see, your historical resource is going to be gone.

And I will -- you know, but you have to go more than halfway with meeting the expectations of what this says because, frankly, when you go off your medication, you get cocky and do these crazy things that obviously have the U.S. Attorney's Office up in arms because they should be, but at the same time it doesn't do any good to just keep bringing you in and out of prison when they are not giving you adequate medication or they are not giving you any medication or they take you off medication. We have to keep you in a consistent process of being seen and being on the right medications or it's just not productive.

MR. LESSLEY: Your Honor, and this is going to happen: So he's going to presumably remain at Sheridan until the bed becomes available. Sheridan will let him leave with a very small, few-day supply of whatever medications they have him on. He's not going to be able to get on the Oregon Health Plan until he's out of custody.

So he's going to end up getting to the Northwest Regional Reentry Center with a very small amount of medication and no further care and no further prescription provided for because he won't have a primary care physician or prescribing physician until after he gets on Oregon Health Plan and until after he can get an appointment, until

09:40:32

after he can get -- so there's going to be this delay.

So, once again, we are going to be in this situation where his medications are going to be an issue at the time he gets out.

And so -- and so, you know, one of the things that we sometimes are able to do here is have someone kind of anticipate and work with the Oregon Health Plan so that it kicks in the day he's released rather than have him start the application process then.

THE COURT: Two things come to mind.

Number one, I'd ask you, Mr. Lessley, to have a debriefing session with Matt Preuitt, and I will work my end to see that this case gets assigned to him. That's number one.

And number two, there's rumors that your office, short-timer that you are, has a social worker now hired. I have not met the social worker that's hired. And other U.S. Attorney's office have social workers on staff to make sure that this happens from both ends.

But you at least have one up there, and I would ask you to brief the social worker and have her stay in contact with me so that we can make sure that he has the medications he needs because, again, I am not sure how long he will get to stay at the Northwest Regional Reentry Center if he starts acting up. They don't -- they are not -- their

09:41:50

tolerance level is such and their waiting list is such that I am not sure if Mr. Cody starts to act up, he wouldn't be just kicked out.

So that's a concern of mine and to get everything set up in Portland. I understand and you should be up there if your mother's in the last stages of her illness. You need to be there, and I am going to make those accommodations because, frankly, the best situation we have for you right now is the Northwest Regional Reentry Center because down here you have kind of burned some bridges and I don't have anything in Southern Oregon.

And so I would like to hope that we can make this work for you.

THE DEFENDANT: I wouldn't want to start out in Eugene again.

THE COURT: Pardon?

THE DEFENDANT: Eugene is overburdened. That's what I saw when I went to Willamette Family.

THE COURT: Yes, Eugene is overburdened because we are having to pick up the slack for Southern Oregon. Yeah, we are overburdened, and we are overburdened just with our own demand here. And we have no jail space. We have no -- our resources are dwindling, and we are accepting, I guess, the new normal, and I am not willing to accept the new normal.

09:42:55

For instance, when I was at the State Hospital,

THE DEFENDANT: My evaluator was falling asleep during the evaluation with me at Willamette Family. That's why I thought they were overburdened.

THE COURT: I don't really need to know the name of the person, but maybe you could share that with Mr. Lessley if you know the name of the person because I will talk to Willamette Family. That's unacceptable. I don't care to know who it is, but I think they need to know that they have further staff for evaluations. That's not acceptable.

But that's -- and, again, when you talk about

Oregon being the worst when at least you have people who

care about that work, they are so strung out trying to do

this work. We are asking too much of people making a modest

amount of money to hold a health care -- mental health care

system together with nothing.

So what -- you understand how significant this period in your life has to be?

THE DEFENDANT: I do. I do understand. And I have also had a very difficult time finding a medication, a medication that works for me that doesn't have such severe side effects that it causes more issues for me than what it's supposed to help. It's a very fine line trying to find stuff that's therapeutic versus detrimental.

09:44:17

for the vast majority of the time I was there for three years, I was not on classic antipsychotics. I was not on any medication for behavior. I was on medication for a chronic pain condition that I have in my heel from when I shattered my heel when I was 18.

And when I got out I couldn't find a doctor. I didn't have OHP right away when I got out of the Oregon State Hospital, or maybe I did, but I just -- I had to jump into work all the time. You know, I have had that job with doing the Comcast contracting stuff, hard physical labor, and I couldn't find a doctor that would refill the prescription for the pain medicine I was on because of the opioid epidemic because what I took was Tramadol and Neurontin.

And the doctors, I figured they would just look at me and say, oh, white male, opioid epidemic, he's burnt.

So --

THE COURT: Well, what I hope is --

THE DEFENDANT: Just didn't have the time to try and find a doctor.

THE COURT: -- when Mr. Lessley sits down and talks to the probation officer and the social worker and the social worker gets a chance to talk to you, I would strongly suggest they go back and look at your files and the recommendations and the medications you were on at the State

09:45:44

Hospital because that's three years the State of Oregon invested in getting you stable --

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I was stable.

THE COURT: -- and back ready to proceed in this litigation. So somebody should take a look at what worked.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. And in the meantime, I got myself put onto -- what is it called? Tricyclic antidepressant. It's an older one called Doxepin. That's like two birds with one stone because it treats chronic pain, which ends up causing you depression when you have pain all the time, and it also treats panic disorders. And I was treated fairly successfully with it. I have been on it for three months at Sheridan under Dr. Cantu.

But anticipating the problems with maintaining that medicine, transitioning into a group home environment, I started titrating off of that medicine because I figured it would be hard to get it, and I didn't want to just abruptly stop it like when I got to RCC in September, September 14th, I was taking trazodone nightly, like 50 milligrams to help me sleep from Washington County Jail. And they didn't have any medicine for me the very day I got to the halfway house. No trazodone.

And I went in a complete manic phase. And I tried really hard. I tried. I had no resources, no money, no nothing to be able -- they said, well, you can go buy

09:47:17

over-the-counter Benadryl. I was like, well, I don't have any money, but I did manage to get my flu vaccine, and I did manage to get on nicotine lozenges because OHP covered that.

But I did the best I could. I really did. And I know me being punished for mental health episodes by putting me in jail where I catch new charges, that's terrible. But that's what we do in Oregon.

The cell block I am on in Springfield jail all night long you had people banging on the walls and screaming out because they were having hallucinations and stuff.

And this is -- I have seen this in every jail I have been in in this country, and it's sad. And I hope some day maybe it can be a little bit different, but I know it costs a lot of money, and I am going to do my part and I am going to do the best that I can.

And thank you for the more than second chance everybody.

THE COURT: Well, you are getting sanctioned for your behaviors, and we are trying to find the right constellation of services that will sustain. But --

THE DEFENDANT: I did break the law, and I understand that.

THE COURT: People shouldn't have to break the law to get services, purposefully or accidentally.

So I am going to follow the recommendation because

09:48:43 I want this case resolved by the state. And I know 1 2 Ms. Perlow has a commitment to try to do better work with 3 mental health. They have a mental health court, and she 4 will tell you that it's a great concept, but they can't get 5 people to come because they don't have housing. They don't 6 have enough stability to be able to come to a court 7 appearance for a mental health court. It's chaos. So that 8 court, their best efforts right now are struggling. 9 I really believe a mental health reentry court, a dual diagnosis reentry court -- I do it one at a time. 10 11 will set up a status conference with you within two weeks of 12 your placement at the RRC to make sure everything is stable. 13 So I can't predict when that will be unless Mr. Lessley knows what has been --14 15 MR. LESSLEY: We don't know a date yet. 16 PROBATION OFFICER MORALES: Your Honor, I just 17 talked to my supervisor up in Portland, and he's saying it's about seven to ten days is what they are looking at right 18 19 now as soon as the order is signed and we send the request 20 up to Seattle. THE COURT: So let's put -- why don't we put him 21 22 on my May -- when I am up in Portland in May. 23 THE CLERK: Status conference is set for May 14th, 2018, at 2:30 p.m., in Portland. 24

THE DEFENDANT:

Oh, in Portland?

25

```
THE COURT: I have -- I work out of Portland.
09:50:13
                        THE DEFENDANT: Oh, okay. Thank you. I was
          2
          3
              fearing the bus ride.
          4
                        THE COURT: No, no, no. I will work -- I have a
              Portland calendar. That day -- I know that's a day I am up
          5
          6
              there, but if I need to do something else.
          7
                        So I am going to follow -- find that -- do the
              formalities. Based on the two violations, your term of
          8
          9
              supervised released is revoked.
         10
                        You are committed to the custody of the Bureau of
         11
              Prisons for time served considered served.
         12
                         I believe that you are appropriate for continued
         13
              community supervision, but you need assistance with your
         14
              medication and mental health requirements.
         15
                        So I am going to order that you reengage in all
         16
              the services that have been previously announced and then
              the following additional conditions:
         17
                        That you shall reside in a residential reentry
         18
         19
              center for up to 180 days.
                        MR. LESSLEY: Your Honor, the recommendation was
         20
              120. I am not quibbling. I am just saying --
         21
         2.2
                        THE COURT: On mine it says 180.
         23
                        Well, no. I see what that -- it's a weird format.
         24
                        So up to 120 days. You were to be 180 days in the
         25
              previous order.
```

120 days. You are to follow the regulations and the 2 3 obligations of the center. 4 You are remanded to the custody of the marshals and will remain in custody until your bed becomes available, 5 6 which I am grateful is seven to ten days. 7 There are other conditions listed. There are 12 8 conditions, Mr. Lessley. Have you reviewed --9 MR. LESSLEY: Yes, we were reading them downstairs 10 before court. 11 THE COURT: And those are all the previous 12 conditions. So there's nothing particularly new. 13 I will see you on the 14th of May. Do you have any questions? You know what the 14 15 expectations are? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Anything? 17 PROBATION OFFICE MORALES: Your Honor, just to be 18 19 clear, he's currently on probation. He's not on supervised 20 release, so revoking his probation --21 THE COURT: Oh, that's right. I was sitting here thinking that I -- thank you. So -- thank you. I will 22 23 clarify that. 24 Your term of probation is revoked. 25 committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for the

09:51:34

09:52:26

2.2

time served considered served.

You showed that you are not capable of residing in the community without proper assistance with your medication and mental health requirements.

Therefore, the court finds that you violated your terms of probation by failing to reside in a residential reentry center for not more than 180 days and committing the new law violations.

The court finds you are no longer suitable for community supervision.

It's ordered, therefore, that your term of probation is revoked, and you are committed to the Bureau of Prisons for a period of time served considered served.

Upon release from your imprisonment, you are subject to the terms of supervised release under 18 U.S.C. § 3583, and that then sets out your period of supervision for the next 36 months with the conditions that I have just reviewed.

Okay? Is that clear? So your probation is revoked --

THE DEFENDANT: As clear as it could be.

THE COURT: -- and now you are on supervised release because you have been in custody a substantial period of time.

Any questions that you have?

```
09:53:23
                        THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
          2
                        THE COURT: And Mr. Lessley, you might make a
              recommendation to your office about who should take this
          3
          4
                     I hope you will do that.
          5
                        MR. LESSLEY: I will come up with one. If I can
          6
              do it, that would be my plan.
          7
                        THE COURT: Okay.
                        MR. LESSLEY: Well, I mean, in terms of after I
          8
          9
              am?
         10
                        THE COURT: Pardon?
         11
                        MR. LESSLEY: I am not sure what Your Honor is
         12
              asking about.
         13
                        THE COURT: Just after -- whenever -- when you
              have moved on, I just want to make sure he's reassigned to
         14
         15
              someone who --
         16
                        MR. LESSLEY: I am -- I have not had a chance to
         17
              come and talk to Your Honor about my plans and had fully
         18
              intended to do that, but I am still going to be around. I
         19
              am still going to be practicing, so my --
                        THE COURT: Oh, excellent.
         20
         21
                        MR. LESSLEY: Yeah, I am going to be practicing
              with Tina.
         2.2
         23
                        THE COURT: I thought you might be just doing
              civil work, so.
         24
         25
                        MR. LESSLEY:
                                      No.
```

09:54:10 THE COURT: Oh, excellent. 2 MR. LESSLEY: I am going to be on the panel. 3 THE COURT: Excellent. 4 MR. LESSLEY: I will ask them to keep me on this. 5 THE COURT: That's good news. 6 All right. You have 14 days in which to appeal 7 the sentence in this case. If you can't afford to do so, contact the clerk's office. It will be done for you and 8 9 done for free. 10 I hope at some point you start to anticipate -- I 11 don't know how to say this otherwise. One of the things --12 THE DEFENDANT: I do. I keep a journal. 13 THE COURT: One of the things I have been incredibly working on for weeks is to have the ability to 14 put a wristband that identifies -- it's all research done by 15 16 Tel Aviv University that identifies in your phone and by the information it picks up when people start to go into 17 episodic mental health issues. Nobody seems to be --18 19 THE DEFENDANT: Increase of activity, more like 20 double the paces today. Warning. THE COURT: There is incredible research that lets 21 2.2 people know there's something going on and we should 23 intervene before something else happens. It would be smart 24 because it's inexpensive. It would be smart for people to

25

pick up and use it.

09:55:04 But, again, we'd rather lock people up in jail. So you have 14 days, again, to appeal the sentence in this case. If you wish to do so, contact the clerk's office. It will be done for you and done for free. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor, and I will find a Fitbit. THE COURT: Well, get something on and we'll talk about it. All right? I just want you to be able to anticipate and get ahead of some of your issues. MR. BRUCE: Thank you, Your Honor. (The proceedings were concluded this 11th day of April, 2018.)

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and 09:55:36 correct transcript of the oral proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my skill and ability, dated this 25th day of May, 2018. /s/Kristi L. Anderson Kristi L. Anderson, Certified Realtime Reporter